

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/742,321	YANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Necholus Ogden	1751	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) Necholus Ogden.

(3) _____.

 (2) Howard Einsenberg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2005
Time: 11:00a.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
all

Claims discussed:
all

Prior art documents discussed:
Bhagwat et al or Schacknai et al

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner offered allowable subject matter, applicant's rep. declined and an office action on the merits was requested.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)